

Draft Individual Review Form

Proposal number: 2001-I205-1

Short Proposal Title: IDG Films – Bay Delta Film
Festivals / San Joaquin River Film

1a) Are the objectives and hypotheses clearly stated?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

For all of the questions, see comment sections at end. All the questions deserve a yes.

1b1) Does the conceptual model clearly explain the underlying basis for the proposed work?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

1b2) Is the approach well designed and appropriate for meeting the objectives of the project?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

1c1) Has the applicant justified the selection of research, pilot or demonstration project, or a full-scale implementation project?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

1c2) Is the project likely to generate information that can be used to inform future decision making?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

2a) Are the monitoring and information assessment plans adequate to assess the outcome of the project?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

2b) Are data collection, data management, data analysis, and reporting plans well-described, scientifically sound and adequate to meet the proposed objectives?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

3) Is the proposed work likely to be technically feasible?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

4) Is the proposed project team qualified to efficiently and effectively implement the proposed project?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Miscellaneous comments

[Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field] **If this proposal is not funded for the total amount, the outreach festivals should continue. The new film production on the San Joaquin River sounds excellent but I would like to see a film that included the Sacramento River. The health of the Delta and Bay and the exports of water to the south depend on the water from the “north”- the Sacramento River. More education needs to occur in the “north”!**

**Overall Evaluation
Summary Rating**

Provide a brief explanation of your summary rating

It is not necessary to discuss each question of this proposal since it is an ongoing project that has been previously reviewed and well received by the public. I hope that it continues with the expansion to the “under-served Central Valley locations”. However, there are many other “northern” Central Valley towns that would enhance the educational goals of CALFED ERP beyond those mentioned in the proposal. I would hope that some of these towns would be contacted. (i.e. Chico, Willows, Colusa, Red Bluff -Sacramento River Discovery Center)

- ☐ Excellent
☒ Very Good
☐ Good
☐ Fair
☐ Poor

[Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]